## ADDENDUM 2.1 ORANGE COUNTY

This section provides an updated overview of the issues and opportunities related to housing within Orange County as of 2023. It builds upon the findings of the previous study and takes into account changes and developments that have occurred in the housing market since the last study was conducted in 2019.

To access details from the 2019 Regional Profile Section visit regionalopportunityinc.org/housing.


## Population Characteristics

Historic Trends. Figure I.1 illustrates Orange County's population change over the past six decades.

- Except for the 1980s, Orange County has grown or maintained population.
- Since 2019, proactive efforts have been taken to increase housing production. This potential population growth is likely not reflected in the 2020 count.

Predicted vs Actual. Overall, Orange County has experienced an in-migration, which occurs when the actual is greater than the predicted population.

- In-migration occurred mostly among empty-nesters and retirees.
- Higher than expected birth rates supported the growth in the under 15 year olds.
- In 2019, it was noted that younger households struggled to find quality housing options and the out-migration of these age groups would support this analysis.
- New construction in recent years has targeted these age groups, a strategy that seems necessary to support future growth.

Growth is Forecasted to Continue. Despite a slower growth pattern in the last decade, the filling of new housing units illustrates the county's potential to grow.

- Based on the twenty year growth pattern, Orange County should grow at a quarter percent annually.

FIGURE I.1: Historic Population Change


Source: 2020 US Census Bureau; RDG Planning \& Design
FIGURE I.2: 2020 Predicted vs Actual Population


Source: 2020 US Census Bureau
FIGURE I.3: Future Growth Rate Scenarios


AGR - Average Growth Rate

Source: 2020 US Census Bureau; RDG Planning \& Design

## Economic Characteristics

Industry Breakdown. Figure I. 4
illustrates the percent of residents employed by industry regardless of location of their employment:

- The top employers have remained unchanged since 2019.
- Based on Orange County's local industries and the industries that employ residents, it would appear that there is a significant demand for more moderately priced units that are often hard to produce due to land and material costs.

Commuting Patterns. Figure I. 5 illustrates commuting patterns of residents and employees of Orange County:

- The commuter data is based on 2021 STATS Indiana data and therefore may capture some of the impact from the recent housing construction.
- There were slightly fewer workers commuting into the county for work compared to 2019 (1,550).
- The total number of workers in the county has remained steady. The county's growth in retirees would support population growth but would be less likely to impact the workforce.

Household Income. Figure I. 6 provides an overview of the county's estimated household incomes.

- The county's population growth in retirees would likely not support significant income growth across the county.
- Paoli's household income has grown but is still low for the region.

FIGURE I.4: Employment By Industry

| Manufacturing <br> 2,143 \| 23.60\% | Educational Services, Health Care, Social Assistance 1,742 \| 19.20\% | Entertainment, Recreation, Arts, Accommodation, Food Services 1,385 \| 15.20\% | Construction <br> 947 \| 10.40\% | Retail <br> Trade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transportation \& Warehousing, Utilities 435 \| 4.80\% | Public Administration 374 \| 4.10\% | Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing Hunting, Mining $311 \text { \| } 3.40 \%$ | Professional, Scientific, Management, Administration 299 \| 3.30\% | Other Services, Except Public Administration $237 \text { \| 2.60\% }$ |
| Finance, Insurance, Real Estate, Rental \& Leasing 226 \| 2.50\% | Wholesale Trade $\begin{array}{l\|l\|}  \\ 173 & 1.90 \% \end{array}$ | Information <br> 89 \| 1.00\% | Civilian employed population 16 years and over\| 9,095 |  |

Source: 2021 American Community Survey (5-Year Estimates)
*Number represents the number of people employed within the industry, percentage represents share of all workers within the industry

FIGURE I.5: 2021 Commuting Patterns


Source: 2021 STATS Indiana

FIGURE I.6: Household Income

|  | $2020$ <br> Population |  | 2021 Median Household Income | $80 \%$ of Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange County | $19,867$ |  | \$56,572 | \$45,258 |
| Paoli |  |  | \$41,995 | \$30,464 |

Source: 2021 American Community Survey (5-Year Estimates)

- Incomes are a reflection of the job market in Orange County and reinforce the need for workforce housing being produced in the county.

Map I.1: Employers by Size


Source: 2020 Census Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD)

Map I.2: Median Year Residential Structure Built


- The small sample sizes in Orange County likely create larger margins of error in the data, but it would appear that the most recent construction has occurred outside the incorporated communities.


## Housing Characteristics

## Housing Age and Building Histo-

ry. Figure 1.7 shows the construction activity in Orange County since 2012.

- Construction activity would reinforce the minimal population growth that has occurred in Orange County.
- The multifamily units built in 2019 likely filled an important gap in the market.
- More robust building activity will be needed to support future growth.

Housing Occupancy. Figure I. 8 illustrates the change in housing occupancy since 2000 and Map I. 3 illustrates average household size.

- The total number of units has grown since 2000, but those were more likely to be in rental rather than owner units.
- Orange County's construction activity in the last two decades would indicate that most of the new rental housing has been from the conversion of single-family homes to rental occupancy.
- The number of vacant units has grown but it is estimated that approximately $23 \%$ of those units are seasonally vacant and nearly 56\% are classified as "other vacant."
» Other vacant units are not occupied due to condition, no longer being used as a rental units, or the occupants have passed away or in skilled or assisted living homes.

FIGURE I.7: Residential Building Permit History


Source: Orange County Building Department

FIGURE I.8: Occupancy Status

| Occupancy | 2000 |  | 2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of <br> Occupied <br> Units | Number | \%ccupied <br> Units | Change <br> 2000-2020 <br> Owner-Occupied |
| 6,032 | $79.10 \%$ | 5,933 | $74.30 \%$ | -99 |  |
| Renter-Occupied | 1,589 | $20.90 \%$ | 2,049 | $25.70 \%$ | 460 |
| Total Vacant | 727 |  | 1,076 | 349 |  |
| Vacancy rate | $8.70 \%$ |  | $11.90 \%$ |  |  |
| Total Units | 8,348 |  | 9,058 | 710 |  |

Source: US Census Bureau

Map I.3: People Per Household


Source: 2021 American Community Survey (5-Year Estimates)

| Average Household Size <br> ACS 2017-2021 <br> $3.37-4.86$ <br> $2.87-3.36$ <br>  <br> $2.52-2.86$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ |
| :---: |
| $\square$ <br> $\square$ |

- Household size impacts the number of units needed to house a population. The larger the household size, the fewer the units needed and vice versa. The smaller the household size, the more units needed to support the same population.

Map I.4: Median Contract Rent by Census Tract


Source: 2021 American Community Survey (5-Year Estimates)


- "Contract Rent" is defined by the Census as monthly rent not including furnishings, utilities, or services.

Map I.5: Median Home Value by Census Tract


## Median Home Value

ACS 2017-2021
\$243,301 - \$411,500

- Home values do appear to have appreciated over the last several years. This is good for existing homeowners looking to build capital in their investment.
- The 2019 study noted that values would likely have to come up to support new construction.

Map I.6: Value to Income Ratio


Source: 2021 American Community Survey (5-Year Estimates)

2021 Value to Income Ratio


- An affordable, self-sustaining housing market, with adequate value or revenues to support market rate new construction, typically has a Value to Income ratio between 2.5 and 3.
- Ratios below 2.0 are significantly undervalued relative to income and make it difficult to support new construction costs.
- Ratios above 3.0 exhibit significant unaffordability issues.
" The area outside of Paoli is likely an anomaly resulting from a small sample size and higher margin of error. Map 1.5 is not indicating excessively high values, therefore, the estimated income must be much lower in those areas.

Costs and Incomes. Traditionally, households spending more than 30\% of their income on housing are cost burdened.

- Rising costs seem to have impacted renters more than owners in the last several years.
» The percentage of cost burdened renters has increased despite minimal growth in rental rates. This may reflect the impact that COVID and the temporary closer of the resorts had on the county's renters.
» Many homeowners likely took advantage of record low interest rates to refinance and lessen their housing costs.
- Rental rates appear to remain very low. If this is accurate, it is well below the rates needed to support new construction. To cover costs of construction and turn a profit, rental rates will likely need to be above $\$ 900$ a month without financing assistance.
- Estimated household incomes have grown at a faster rate than median home values, therefore, the value to income ratio has actually declined since 2019.

FIGURE I.9: Orange County Housing Affordability

Median
Household
Income
\$56,572

## Median

 Contract Rent
## $\$ 478$

\% paying more than 30\% in Gross Rent*
41.61\%
\% paying more than 30\% for Owner Costs**

Median House Value
\$108,000

Value / Income Ratio 1.91

* Gross rent includes utilities. **Owner costs include mortgage, mortgage interests, property taxes, and maintenance.

Source: 2021 American Community Survey (5-Year Estimates)

Figure 1.10 compares the number of households in an income range with the number of units that would be affordable to that household.

- A shortage of housing for those making over \$75,000 has grown since 2019.
- If new rental housing has been constructed, it is yet to be captured in this data as more units would likely be over \$1,000.
- While it may appear that Orange County has a large stock of moderate to lower priced housing units, the data does not reflect the quality or type of housing.

FIGURE I.10: Housing Affordability Analysis

| Income Range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# HHs }{ }^{\star} \\ & \text { in Each } \\ & \text { Range } \end{aligned}$ | Affordable Range for Owner Units | \# of Owner Units | Affordable Range for Renter Units | \# of Renter Units | Total Affordable Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$0-24,999 | 1,831 | >\$60,000 | 1,141 | \$0-499 | 933 | 2,074 | 243 |
| \$25,000-49,999 | 1,805 | \$60,000-124,999 | 2,470 | \$500-999 | 816 | 3,286 | 1,481 |
| \$50,000-74,999 | 1,431 | \$125,000-199,999 | 1,559 | \$1,000-1,499 | 22 | 1,581 | 150 |
| \$75-99,999 | 1,273 | \$200,000-249,999 | 429 | \$1,500-1,999 | 0 | 429 | -844 |
| \$100-149,999 | 1,171 | \$250,000-399,999 | 279 | \$2,000-2,999 | 0 | 279 | -892 |
| \$150,000+ | 437 | \$400,000+ | 299 | \$3000+ | 0 | 299 | -138 |

[^0]Home Sales. Median sale prices have grown in the last ten years but not on a steady trajectory.

- A smaller number of listings each year means that a few higher end or lower end sales have a greater impact on the median sale price.
- Home prices starting in 2020 were likely impacted by two factors:
» New construction that was coming in the market at higher price points.
» Record low interest rates that were creating greater competition, which is also supported by the drop in days on market.
- The increase in days on market in 2022 likely reflects the increasing interest rates and additional options in the market.

FIGURE I.11: Home Sales, Orange County

|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | \% <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Listings | 114 | 109 | 118 | 105 | 86 | 84 | 105 | 69 | 64 | 82 | 86 | 28 |
| Median Days on Market | 57 | 80 | 42 | 61 | 65 | 50 | 53 | 36 | 26 | 18 | 29 |  |
| Median List Price | 89K | 83K | 87K | 80K | 95K | 90K | 105K | 130K | 137K | 163K | 179K | 50\% |
| Median Sale Price | 64K | 67K | 60K | 60K | 60K | 73K | 97K | 97K | 130K | 160K | 168K | 62\% |

Source: 2022 MLS;

Housing Demand Analysis. The housing demand model assumes that the job potential and investment opportunities for Orange County in 2019 have not changed and therefore the county can grow at a faster rate. Figure 1.12 shows the factors determining the forecast:

- A forecast 0.25\% annual population growth rate, similar to that used in 2019.
- 2.45 people per household, which slightly lower than estimated in 2019.
- Similar to 2019, Orange County should be producing nearly 43 units annually to support population growth. Based on building permit activity, the county was consistently well below this rate, except for 2019.
- An assessment of the vacancy rate should also be completed. The vacancy rate would appear to be high with the majority being categorized as "other vacant." These units are likely not viable or even available and therefore should be removed from the count. If they are viable then they should be targeted for reinvestment and put back on the market.

FIGURE I.12: Housing Demand Summary

|  | 2020 | 2023-2030 | 2030-2035 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population at End of Period | 19,867 | 20,369 | 20,625 |  |
| Household Population at End of Period | 19,609 | 20,197 | 20,450 |  |
| Average People Per Household | 2.45 | 2.45 | 2.45 |  |
| Household Demand at End of Period | 8,004 | 8,244 | 8,347 |  |
| Projected Vacancy Rate | 11.9\% | 11.9\% | 11.9\% |  |
| Unit Needs at End of Period | 9,082 | 9,355 | 9,472 |  |
| Replacement Need (total lost units) |  | 160 | 100 | 260 |
| Cumulative Need During Period |  | 345 | 218 | 562 |
| Average Annual Construction |  | 43 | 44 | 43 |

[^1]Housing Development Program. Figure I. 13 distributes the forecasted demand by price point based on the 2020 distribution of household incomes in Orange County. The following assumptions create the program:

- Over the next several years, greater production of options should focus on pent-up demand and the need to offer more affordable variety beyond traditional single-family detached dwellings.
- Based on current proportion of household income, an additional 223 units priced below $\$ 250,000$ will be needed. These will be generated in four potential ways:
» Production of ownership options that are not the traditional single-family detached, but include duplexes, townhomes, or other medium and higher density configurations that reduce per unit costs.
» Funding assistance that will offset lot development costs and smaller square footage homes.
» Rehabilitation of existing housing units, potentially through the conversion of single-family homes converting from owner to renter occupancy.
» Construction of higher priced units that allow existing households to make the next step up.
- The 75 units needed with rents below $\$ 500$ a month will likely require programs that assist with construction of units specifically targeted to lower income and elderly households.
» It is important to note that over 42\% of the rental housing that is needed will support what many in Orange County would consider high market rate construction.
" Like ownership demand, many of the below market rate units exist in the county's older rental housing stock.

FIGURE I.13: Housing Development Program


Source: RDG Planning \& Design


[^0]:    * HH = Households

    Source: 2021 American Community Survey (5-Year Estimates)

[^1]:    Source: 2021 American Community Survey (5-Year Estimates); RDG Planning \& Design

